ceras a genus which comprised three subgenera, Stephanoceras, Perisphinctes, and Cosmoceras ; subsequently he raised each of these subgenera to the rank of distinct genera. Examples: S. Humphriesianum, macrocephalum, coronatum, Parkinsoni.

Perisphinctes, Waagen, 1869, 248. Zittel, 1870, 218. Waagen, 1870, 206. Planulati, Von Buch ; partim macroeephali, eoronati, coronarii, dentati. Jurassic and Cretaceous. Examples: P. Martinsi, plicatilis, biplex, Calisto.
Peltoceras, Waagen, 1871, 91. Includes the species detaehed from the genera Perisphinctes and Aspidoceras. Jurassic. Examples: P. arduennense, transversarium, athleta.

Cosmoceras, Waagen, 1869, 248. Zittel, 1870, 215. Waagen, 1870, 208. Dentati, ornati. Jurassic and Cretaccous. Examples: C. calloviense, ornatum, mamillare, verrucosum.

Simoceras, Zittel, 1870, 207. Tithonic. Examples: S. volanense, biruncinatum, strictum, catrianum.
Aspidoceras, Zittel, 1868, 116. Waagen, 1869, 248. Zittel, 1870, 192. Middle and Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous. Examples: A. bispinosum, cyclotum, orthoceras, Lallierianum, iphicerus, rogoznicense.

## XLIII.-Description of a new Snake from Madagascar. By Dr. A. Günther.

The Trustees of the British Museum have purchased some specimens of reptiles from Madagascar, and among them a snake which appears to be the type of a new genus of the family Dendrophides.

## Itirycypilus.

Body compressed, with the abdominal scutes distinctly keeled. Scales smooth, imbricate, without apical groove, in twenty-one series. Ventral scutes less than 200 ; anal and subcaudals divided. Upper shields of the head normal. One undivided nasal ; loreal distinct; one pre-, three postoculars. Pupil round. None of the anterior or middle maxillary teeth enlarged ; posterior maxillary tooth grooved.

## Ithycyphus caudolineatus.

Body slender, compressed; head narrow, flat, with the snout depressed, obliquely truncated in front. Eye rather small. Vertical bell-shaped. Nostril round, in the middle of the narrow, elongate, single masal shield. Loreal elongate, as
long as the nasal. The single preocular reaches to the upper surface of the head, and is in contact with the vertical. Three postoculars. Eight upper labials, the fourth and fifth entering the orbit. Temporals $1+2+3$, but rather inregularly arranged. A groove (of black colour) between the temporals and labials. Ventrals 187 ; subcaudals 135 . Brownish, some of the dorsal scales with a blackish edge; tail with a black line on each side, along the outer margin of the subcaudals; sometimes another pair of less distinct blackish lines along the back of the tail. Brownish yellow below, with or without irregular powdered spots.

Total length 33 inches, of which the tail takes 13 inches.
Southern parts of Madagascar.
XLIV.-Reply to Professor Verrill's "Remarlis on certain Errors in Mr. Jeffreys's Article on the Mollusca of Europe compared with those of Eastern North America." By J. Gwyn Jeffreys, F.R.S.
I have been hitherto prevented by various engagements from noticing Prof. Verrill's remarks on the above article, which was published in the 'Annals' of last October.

Although I would rather invite than deprecate a fair criticism of this or any other publication of mine, I cannot help regretting that the present critic has not adopted the same style of courtesy which so agreeably characterizes his scientific countrymen.

I do not admit the wholesale charge of "errors" and "mistakes" which is so freely made in his "Remarks," nor that it was incumbent on him personally to disclaim my views. Let them be examined by some competent authority.

The crrors attributed to me are those which relate to geographical and local distribution, to the difference of certain species, and to the nomenclature of two other species.
The question of geographical distribution, involving that of migration, is a subject which cannot be hastily disposed of ; but Prof. Verrill's idea that the land and freshwater shells which are common to the Old and New Continents may have originated in America and thence crossed to Europe "in the direction of the prevailing currents and winds" is more ingenious than probable. Currents and winds are not the kind of agency we should expect for the migration of such animals. However, I will not offend his national susceptibilities any further.

With regard to local distribution I can only repeat that I

